

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/24/2003 13DV-14030 10/720,807 Michael Lee Millard 5428 (07783-0110) EXAMINER 31450 7590 08/25/2005 MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC IVEY, ELIZABETH D 100 PINE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 1166 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166 1775

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   | Application No.          | Applicant(s)                        | " |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
|   | 10/720,807               | MILLARD ET AL.                      |   |
|   | Examiner                 | Art Unit                            |   |
|   | Elizabeth Ivey           | 1775                                |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                          |                                     |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                          |                                     |   |
| Status  |                          |                                     |   |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2004.   |                          |                                     |   |
| · — ·   | his action is non-final. |                                     |   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                          |                                     |   |
| Disposition of Claims   |                          |                                     |   |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                          |                                     |   |
| Application Papers  |                          |                                     |   |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                          |                                     |   |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                          |                                     |   |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                          |                                     |   |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                          |                                     |   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                          |                                     |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |                          |                                     |   |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                          |                                     |   |
| Attachment(s)   |                          |                                     |   |
| 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | , <del>_</del>           | ummary (PTO-413)<br>)/Mail Date     |   |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Statement(s))     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | ′                        | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass 588
- II. Claim 11, drawn to a ceramic composite stiffener, classified in class 428, subclass57.
- III. Claims 12-19, drawn to a method for fabricating a ceramic composite stiffener, classified in class 156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination can be made with an adhesive bonding material or a mechanical fastener. The subcombination has separate utility such as a ceramic bonding agent or glue for repairing broken ceramic pottery.

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Art Unit: 1775

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used as a ceramic bonding for repairing broken ceramic pottery.

Inventions Group II and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by mechanically fastening the stiffener to the skin.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A telephone call was made to Scott O'Brian on August 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,807 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Ivey whose telephone number is (571) 272-8432. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00- 4:30 M-Th and 7:00-3:30 alt. Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571)272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth D. Ivey

Elizabeth D. Juey

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER